RESOLUTION NO. 92-65

A RESOLUTION OF THE LODI CITY COUNCIL AUTHORIZING THE SUBMITTAL OF A REVISED TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT (TDA) CLAIM FOR TRANSIT SERVICES FOR FISCAL YEAR 1990-91

BE IT RESOLVED, that the Lodi City Council does hereby authorize the submittal of a revised Transportation Development Act (TDA) Claim for transit services for Fiscal Year 1990-91; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Lodi City Council does hereby authorize the City Manager to execute the subject revised Claim on behalf of the City of Lodi.

Dated: April 15, 1992

I hereby certify that Resolution No. 92-65 was passed and adopted by the Lodi City Council in a regular meeting held April 15, 1992 by the following vote:

Ayes: Council Members - Hinchman, Pennino, Sieglock, Snider

and Pinkerton (Mayor)

Noes: Council Members - None

Absent: Council Members - None

Que M. Reinche

City Clerk

LOCAL TRANSPORTATION FUND

10:	1860 East Hazelton Avenue Stockton, CA 95205							
FROM:	Applicant: <u>City of Lodi</u>							
	Address: P. O. Box 300 (City, Zip)	06, Lodi, CA 95241						
	Contact Person: Jerry Gle	enn/Sharon Blaufus Phone:333-6700						
1400, annual \$ 1,41	Statutes 1971 and applic transportation claim	requests, in accordance with Chapter cable rules and regulations, that its m be approved in the amount of 1990-91, to be drawn from the Local						
for particle Audito and available monies	ayment. Approval of the root o	it this claim to the County Auditor he claim and payment by the County subject to such monies being on hand on, and to the provisions that such accordance with the terms of the						
and thand a aforem claims	ne financial information courate to the best mentioned information	this Local Transportation Fund claim on contained therein, is reasonable of my knowledge, and that the indicates the eligibility of this e fiscal year of the application nd 6734.						
APPROV	ED:	Applicant: City of Lodi						
	aquin County Council vernments	Signed: Thos. A. Situson						
		Name: Thomas A. Peterson						
Ву:	BARTON MEAYS	Title: City Manager						
	Dilition illustra	Date: April 15 1992						

Title: Executive Director

Date:

Date: April 15, 1992

	STATE TRANS	IT ASSISTANCE CLAIM
TO:	San Joaquin County Coun 1860 East Hazelton Aven Stockton, CA 95205	cil of Governments ue
FROM:	Applicant: City of Lod	<u>i</u>
	Address: P. O. Box 300 (City, Zip)	6, Lodi, CA 95241
	Contact Person: <u>Jerry</u>	Glenn Phone: 333-6700
the P Chapte and r \$ 5,2: Transi ing pu	ublic Utilities Code, er 1400, Statutes of 19 egulations, that and a 21 for fiscal year at Assistance trust fund arposes and in the follow	suant to Section 99203 and 99315 of hereby requests, in accordance with 971 as amended, and applicable rules llocation be made in the amount of 1990-91, to be drawn from the State of San Joaquin County for the following respective amounts:
	Purposes of the control of the contr	Amounts
T		\$5,221
Alloca claima distr	ant, are subject to such a ibution and to the pro-	ayment by the County Auditor to this monies being on hand and available for visions that such monies will be used erms of the approved claim.
Claim reason afore claim	and the financial nable and accurate to the mentioned information	this State Transit Assistance Fundinformation contained herein, is the best of my knowledge, and that the indicates the eligibility of this are fiscal year of the application and 6734.
APPRO	VED:	Applicant:
	paquin County Council overnments	Signed:
Ву:	BARTON MEAYS	Name:
		t e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e

Title: Executive Director

Date: _____ 19___

Date:_____19____

TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT APPORTIONMENTS

I. Local Transportation Fund Available Apportionment

A. Area Apportionment 1990-91	\$	1,022,380
B. Pedestrian/Bicycle Apportionment		21,300
C. Previous Years' Unclaimed Apportionment		78,800
D. Unexpended Carryover	••	297,000
E. Total Available for 1990-91 Claim(s)		1,419,480
F.: .less any LTF Already Claimed 1990-91		. 0
G. TOTAL AVAILABLE FOR THIS CLAIM (Also enter on page 8, 1st column)	\$	1,419,480

II. State Transit Assistance Fund Available Apportionment

A.	Area Apportionment 1990-91	\$ 	
-LiveBeş	Special Transit Apportionment 1990-91		
	Previous Years' Unclaimed Apportionment		5,221
	Unexpended Carryover		4,927
•	Total Available for 1990-91 Claim(s)		10,148
F.	less any STA Already Claimed 1990-91	1	0
G.	TOTAL AVAILABLE FOR THIS CLAIM (Also enter on page 8, 2nd column)	\$	10,148

TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT ALLOCATIONS

Claim Purpose	I.	LTF	II. STA
I. PUBLIC TRANSPORTATION			
Article 4 (99260)-Operator ₁	\$	301,065	\$ 5,221
Article 8 (99400(c)) Contractor operating		29,000	
Article 8 (99400(e)) Contractor capital			
II. PEDESTRIAN AND BICYCLE			N/A
Article 3 (99234)	·		
Article 8 (99400(a))	\$	21,300	
III. ROADS AND STREETS			
Article 8 (99400(a))	\$1	,060,115	
IV. OTHER			
Article 8 (99400(b) ,or 99400(d)			N/A
///////////////////////////////////////	1111	///////////////////////////////////////	///////////////////////////////////////
TOTAL THIS CLAIM	<u>\$</u>	1,411,480	\$ 5,221
TOTAL AVAILABLE FOR THIS CLAIM (from page 7, I. and II. G.)		1,419,480	10,148
UNCLAIMED APPORTIONMENT (TOTAL AVAIL. less TOTAL THIS CLAIM)	\$	8,000	\$ 4,927

IMPORTANT: To avoid accidental overpayment, please identify in the space below any unexpended carryover included in the amounts being claimed above.

\$297,000 unexpended LTF carryover: reclaimed for streets/roads \$ 4,927 unexpended STA carryover: reclaimed for transit art. 4

^{1.} Operators claiming STA funds must complete and pass the eligibility test on page 15.

PART I - PUBLIC TRANSPORTATION

FINANCIAL INFORMATION

1989-90

	and the second of the second o	Please Circle	1990-91
I.	OPERATING REVENUE	ACTUAL or ESTIMATE	Budget
401	Passenger Fares	·	\$ 29,200
402	Special Transit Fares		
403	School Bus Service Revenues		
404	Freight Tariffs		
405	Charter Service Revenues		
406	Auxiliary Transportation Revenue	es	
407	Non-Transportation Revenues		
408	Taxes Levied Directly by Transit System (Specify)	t	
409	Local Cash Grants & Reimbursement (Specify) Local Transportation (LTF)		
410	Local Special Fare Assistance		28.707
411	State Cash Grants & Reimbursemer (Specify) State Transit Assista Fund (STA)		
412	State Special Fare Assistance		
413	Federal Cash Grants & Reimburse (Specify) UMTA Grants	ments 	
430	Contributed Services		
440	(Specify)		
	TOTAL		\$ 57,907
II.	CAPITAL REVENUE		
464	<pre>Federal Capital Grants & Subsid (Specify)</pre>	ies	
	State Capital Grants & Subventi (Specify) State Transit Assist Funds (STA)		
	Local Capital Provisions (Speci Local Transportation Fund (LTF		
	Non-Governmental Donations	-	
	TOTAL		

PART I - PUBLIC TRANSPORTATION

Please Circle either: Article 4 operator or-Article-8-contractor

I. OPERATING REVENUE

FINANCIAL INFORMATION

1989-90

Please (1990-91	
ACTUAL or	ESTIMATE	Budget

•	DELKATING KEVENDE	THE TOTAL OF BUILDING	Budgee
	Passenger Fares Special Transit Fares	\$ 39,313	\$ 43,575
403 - 404	School Bus Service Revenues Freight Tariffs		
405 406	Charter Service Revenues Auxiliary Transportation Revenue		
407 408	Non-Transportation Revenues Taxes Levied Directly by Transit System (Specify)		3,266
409	Local Cash Grants & Reimbursemer (Specify) Local Transportation (LTF)		239,411
410	Local Special Fare Assistance		
411-	State Cash Grants & Reimbursement (Specify) State Transit Assistation Fund (STA)		5,221
412	Stäte Special Fare Assistance		
413	Federal Cash Grants & Reimburser (Specify) UMTA Grants	ments	
430	Contributed Services		
440	(Specify) TOTAL	113 <u>\$281,312</u>	\$291,473
II.	CAPITAL REVENUE		
464	Federal Capital Grants & Subsid (Specify)	ies	
	State Capital Grants & Subventi (Specify) State Transit Assist	ance	
	Funds (STA) Local Capital Provisions (Speci Local Transportation Fund (LTF	fy) \$ 55,009	\$ 61,654
	Sale of Property	29,759	
	TOTAL	\$ 84,768	\$ 61,654

(*\$4,927 is unexpended carryover re-claimed for transit in FY 1991)

III.	OPERATING EXPENSES	1989-90 Please Circle Actual or Estimate*	1990-91 Budget
501	Labor	53	289
	Operators Salaries/Wages		203
	Other Salaries/Wages		
502	Fringe Benefits	32	76.
503	Services	 .	2,589
504	Materials/Supplies		
	Fuels/Lubricants Tires/Tubes		
	Other		
505	Utilities		
506 507	Casualty/Liability Costs Taxes	51,208	30,414
508	Purchased Transportation Service	224,104	244,906
509	Miscellaneous Expenses	988	10,496
510 -511	Expense Transfers Tinterest Expense		
512 513	Leases and Rentals Depreciation/Amortization		
N 4	Operator Funds Grant Funds		
	TOTAL	\$276,385	\$288,768
IV.	CAPITAL EXPENSES*		
	Debt Service Land/Property Acquisition		
	Vehicles Construction	\$ 84.185	\$ 61,650
	Other - Radios	583	
	TOTAL	\$ 84,768	\$ 61,650

^{*}Allowable capital expenses are limited for Article 8 claimants; see 99400 (e).

III. OPERATING EXPENSES	1989-90 Please Circle Actual or Estimate*	1990-91 Budget
501 Labor Operators Salaries/Wages		
Other Salaries/Wagessivages		
502 Fringe Benefits		
503: Services		
504 Materials/Supplies		
Fuels/Lubricants Tires/Tubes		
Other		
505 Utilities		·
506 Casualty/Liability Costs		
507 Taxes		
508 Purchased Transportation Service 509 Miscellaneous Expenses		\$ 57,907
510 Expense Transfers 511 Interest Expense		
512 Leases and Rentals 513 Depreciation/Amortization	·	
Operator Funds Grant Funds		
TOTAL	\$	\$ 57,907
IV. CAPITAL EXPENSES*		
Debt Service Land/Property Acquisition		
Vehicles Construction		
Other - Radios	·	
TOTAL	\$	\$

^{*}Allowable capital expenses are limited for Article 8 claimants; see 99400 (e).

ARTICLE 8 - TAXI

OPERATIONAL INFORMATION*

Actual/Est. Actual Proposed FY 1989-90 FY 1988-89 FY 1990-91 Patronage a. Total Passengers See FY 1991-92 TDA Claim for information related to passengers, RVH, etc., فالأعليب وكالمتاه والأوروف الملا b. Revenue Passengers for both DAR and the Article 8 Taxi service c. Youth Passengers d. Elderly Passengers e. Handicapped Passengers 2. <u>Vehicle</u> Miles a. Total Vehicle Miles b. Revenue Vehicle Miles 3. Revenue Vehicle Hours Revenue Vehicle Fuel Consumption Diesel b. Gasoline c. Liquid Natural Compressed Gas Fare Structure a. Base b. Zone Youth c. d. Senior Handicapped f. Monthly Pass g. Other Average Fare

^{*}Attach additional pages as necessary to alter or complete description

THREE YEAR FISCAL PLAN

1		:	1991-92	1992	-93	1993-94
Operating Operating	Revenues		in orig	inal.FY.1	990-91 TDA 2 TDA clai	s presented claim and m (for both
Sources:		\$		\$	<u> </u>	
	STA					
	Federal					
• • •	Fares	•				
* * * *	General Fund	_				
	Other	_				
Total		\$_		\$	\$	
Capital Ex	penses	\$_		\$	\$	·
Capital Re	venue					
Sources:	LTF	\$_		\$	<u> </u>	·
	STA	_				
	Federal	_				
	Other	- -				
Total		\$_		\$		S

FLEET INVENTORY (Transit Vehicle Owners Only)

T	Production	# of	Fuel	Seat	Spec	cial	Feat	tures
Make & Model	Year	Veh.	Type	Capacity	AC	EP	WC	Other
						 		<u> </u>
The second the second of the second					- : -	-		a man upo de la
						1		Ì

See fleet inventory information in original FY 1990-91 TDA Claim TOTAL XXXXXXXXXX Vehicles to be Purchased in FY _____

AC = Air Conditioned

EP = Environmental Package

WC = Wheelchair Lift

Article 4 Operator TDA Requirements

1. Fare Ratio/Local Support Requirements

All Article 4 claimants are required to maintain a specified ratio of fare revenue to operating cost. In addition, SMTD only is required to maintain a ratio of fare revenue plus local support to operating cost of 32%. See 99268.2 - 99268.19 for details and exemptions pertaining to ratios.

- A. What is this system's required farebox recovery ratio? 10%
- B. Does the attached budget demonstrate that this system will meet its required farebox recovery and for SMTD its farebox plus local support ratios? YES
- C. Has this system utilized its grace year? NO
- D. Has this system been in non-compliance with its required ratio(s)? NO

Ιf	yes,	identify	the	year	or	years	
----	------	----------	-----	------	----	-------	--

2. Extension of Service/New Service

An extension of service or new service is exempt from the required farebox and local support ratios if:

- A. The extension of service or new service has been in operation for less than two full fiscal years. The two-year extension of services exclusion applies until two years after the end of the fiscal year in which the extension of services was put into operation.
- B. The claimant submits a report on the extension of services to the COG within 90 days after the end of the fiscal year. (For details of the report, see 6633.8(b)).

Is an extension of service/new service being claimed? NO

If so, has the required report been submitted for the most recently completed full fiscal year? If not, that report must accompany this claim.

3. Operator's STA Qualifying Criteria (99314.6)

STA funds may not be claimed if the budgeted subsidy per revenue vehicle hour exceeds the sum of last year's subsidy per revenue vehicle hour and an amount equal to ninety percent of the change in the Consumer Price Index multiplied by last year's subsidy per revenue vehicle hour.

المن المناطقية في المنظمة المناطقية المناطقية المناطقية المناطقية المناطقية المنظمة المناطقية المناطقية المناطقة Please complete the following worksheet to determine eligibility. Commence of the Commence of th

	1989-90	Budget	Projected	1990-91 Budget
b. c.	401.000 ₁ . 402.000 403.000	\$ 39,313	b	\$ 43,575
e. f.	404.000 406.000 407.000 440.000		d e f. g	3,266
h.	REVENUE	Sum "a" through "g": \$ 39,313	h.	\$ 46,841
i.	OPERATING	COST: \$276,385	i.	\$288,411
j.		(S) Subtract "h" from "i": \$236,959	j.	\$241,570
k.	REVENUE VI	EHICLE HOURS (annual) (RVH): 13,580	k.	14,930
m.	SUBSIDY PI	ER REVENUE VEHICLE HOUR (S/RVI \$17.45	H) Divide	"j" by "k": \$16.18
n.	90% of cha	ange in Consumer Price Index ($0.9 * 0.048$) = 0.0432	6/89 to 6/	90):
p.	For 1989-9	00 budget only calculate: (1+) 1.0432 * m = \$18.20	n)*m	

If the projected subsidy per revenue vehicle hour for budget year $\underline{1990-91}$ ("m") is greater than "p", an STA claim for transit operation cannot be allowed.

That portion of increased costs of fuel, insurance and compliance with state and federal mandates that exceeds 90% of the CPI on line "i" may be excluded. If the claimant uses these exemptions show all work, exclusions and reasons on an attached page.

^{1.} Accounting categories taken from the uniform system of accounts and records.

4. Fifteen Percent Expenditure Increase (6632)

If any of the line items on the attached budget exceed by more than 15% the expenditure for that same item in the previous year's budget, then an explanation for that increase must be given below. Attach an extra page if necessary.

#501	& # 502: Did not charge adequate administrative time to DAR
#503	(Services up to \$2,539, from \$0 prior year):
_	SEE ATTACHMENT
_	
#509	(Misc. Expenses up 930%):
	SEE ATTACHMENT
-	
-	

5. Narrative Description (6632)

Please describe in the space below any changes in service characteristics from the previous fiscal year. This should specifically include any substantial increase or decrease in the geographic area served, major changes to the scope of operations, or addition of major new fixed facilities. Please attach an additional page if necessary.

......

SPECIAL NOTES FOR RATIO CALCULATIONS

- SMTD Exclude certain costs and fares as specified in the most recent <u>Compliance Audit Report</u>.
- <u>Lodi</u> Exclude County service when calculating fares and expenses.

503) Included in this account are the following expenses.

Printing	\$1,138.50	tickets
Advertising	145.60	*
Training	487.24	State requirement
New Year's Eve	616.00	new program
CHP Inspection	150.00	State requirement
Miscellaneous	- 50.00	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

\$2,587.00

المناسبين المناسبين المستناد والمستناء والمنازع والمنازع والمنازع والمنازع والمنازع والمنازع والمنازع والمنازع

509) The items included here are:

\$2,187	repairs to damaged vehicle
\$8,308	recovery of shop maintenance overhead
\$ 52	repair to radio

The damaged vehicle is hopefully a one-time cost. The City is now attempting to fully recover all its operating costs. Presently we are charging Dial-A-Ride approximately 3% of the costs of the equipment repair overhead function.

STEDELLOCAL CROSS STEDENS NAME OF THE STEDENS OF TH

y

-

g. P

.

·

P00 · · ·

Article 8 Contractor TDA Requirements

For contracted transportation service providers, the San Joaquin County Council of Governments' Executive Board has waived the farebox and local support ratios as it is empowered to do by 99405(c). The COG Board has established a two-step process.

1. Match Requirement

For any Article 8 transit claim, no more than 90% of the total operating funds (minus depreciation) in the budget may be TDA (LTF and STA) derived. The ten percent or more matching funds may come from any other source available to the claimant besides TDA.

2. Operating Cost Per Passenger Objective

To receive an amount of TDA operating funds (LTF and STA combined) in excess of what was claimed the previous fiscal year, the claimant must establish an operating cost per passenger objective for the fiscal year of the claim. "Operating cost" is defined as in the TDA statutes and regulations. The objective should be a realistic one based on current and past system performance, but should be low enough to represent an "improvement" when warranted. The COG Executive Board will adopt the systemwide operating cost per passenger objective for the fiscal year of the claim.

If the system failed to meet its operating cost per passenger objective in the fiscal year prior to the fiscal year of the claim, then the claimant is only eligible to file a claim for the level of TDA operating funding received in that prior fiscal year. In the case of a unified transit system, each claimant would be limited to the prior year's level of TDA operating funding. If a system wishes to be eligible for increased TDA operating funding in a future fiscal year, then the claimant should identify an operating cost per passenger objective.

- i. What was the level of TDA operating funding received in the previous fiscal year for this system by this claimant (LTF plus STA)? § 0
- ii. Does the attached budget information demonstrate at least a 10% match of non-TDA funds? YES
- iii. Is this claim requesting more TDA operating funds than were received for this system by this claimant in the previous fiscal year? YES
- iv. If yes, did the system meet its operating cost per passenger objective in the previous fiscal year? Not Applicable

An affirmative answer should be documented in Part v.

	v.	Obje	t was last year's Operating Cost ective? <u>\$0.00 (no service)</u> Wh wal operating cost per passenger	nat was the
		a.	FY 1989-90 Operating Cost	\$
•		b.	Total Passengers	
•			Operating Cost Per Passenger (a / b)	\$
	vi.	Wha	t is the Operating Cost per Past this claim?	senger Objective
	-	d.	Budgeted Operating Cost	\$ 58,200
		e.	Estimated Total Passengers	18,653
		f.	Calculated Operating Cost per Passenger (d / e)	\$ 3.12
.*		g.	FY 1990-91 OPERATING COST PER PASSENGER OBJECTIVE	\$ 2.17
		h	(see footnote), has the contri appraised of the planned syste in g. above?	buting claimant been
3.	Fifteen		ent Expenditure Increase (6632)	
	more the	an 1 yea	he line items on the attached .5% the expenditure for that r's budget, then an explanation below. Attach an additional	same item in the for that increase
4.	Narrativ	e De	escription (6632)	
	characte specific the geo	erist ally grap	ribe on an attached page any cics from the previous fiscal y include any substantial increhic area served, major change or addition of major new fixed	year. This should ase or decrease in s to the scope of

This claim provides support to the taxi company on a per passenger basis. Supporting the Taxi company in this manner will ensure that there is some form of public transit available, 24 hours a day, seven days a week.

IMPORTANT: If this claim is for a unified transit system (per definition p. 18a), all calculations and numbers on (2)iv. and (2)v. must include both City and County totals. Also, contributing claimants to unified transit systems should not use this page: use page 18a instead.

PART II - PEDESTRIAN AND BICYCLE PROJECTS

The second of th

LOCAL TRANSPORTATION FUND

Project Title and Description	<u>LTF Cost</u> Total Cost	
Sidewalk Upgrading	Various Locations	\$21,300
		\$21,300
the state of the s		
·		
I	. I	_

STATE TRANSIT ASSISTANCE FUND

Project Title and	Description	Project Limits	STA Cost Total Cost
	.**		
		ي ا	
		,	,
	_	_	

PART III - ROAD AND STREET PROJECTS

Please provide the requested information for each project being identifie for Transportation Development Act funding.

LOCAL TRANSPORTATION FUND		
Project Title and Description	Project Limits	<u>LTF Cost</u> Total Cost
See pages 20a and 20b	from original FY 1991 TD	A claim
for project	titles and decriptions	
		\$1,060,115
		\$2,323,000
	(Use Additional Page if	Necessary)
STATE TRANSIT ASSISTANCE FUND		
		STA Cost
Project Title and Description	Project Limits	Total Cost
-		
	(Use Additional page if	Necossary